Antimicrobial effects of various endodontic irrigants on selected microorganisms

H. Ayhan¹, N. Sultan², M. Çirak², M. Z. Ruhi³ & H. Bodur¹

¹Department of Pedodontics, Faculty of Dentistry, ²Department of Microbiology, Faculty of Medicine, University of Gazi and ³Department of Microbiology, Faculty of Medicine, University of Ankara, Ankara, Turkey

Abstract

Ayhan H, Sultan N, Çirak M, Ruhi MZ, Bodur H. Antimicrobial effects of various endodontic irrigants on selected micoorganisms. *International Endodontic Journal*, 32, 99–102, 1999.

Aim This study was undertaken to determine the antimicrobial effect of various endodontic irrigants against six selected microorganisms

Methodology *Staphylococcus aureus*, *Enterococcus faecalis*, *Streptococcus salivarius*, *Str. pyogenes*, *Escherichia coli* and *Candida albicans* were included in the study. Pre-sterilized Whatman paper discs, 6 mm in diameter and soaked with the test solution, were prepared and placed onto the previously seeded agar Petri plates. Each plate was incubated aerobically. A zone of inhibition was recorded for each plate and the results were analysed statistically. **Results** 5.25% NaOCl was effective against all test microorganisms with a substantial zone of inhibition. Saline was always ineffective. Decreased concentration of NaOCl significantly reduced its antimicrobial effect. Cresophene showed a significantly larger (P < 0.05) average zone of inhibition compared to the other experimental irrigants. Alcohol had smaller but not significantly different zones of inhibition than chlorhexidine.

Conclusions 5.25% NaOCl was superior in its antimicrobial abilities compared with other irrigants used. A reduced concentration of NaOCl (0.5%) resulted in significantly decreased antimicrobial effects. When compared with 21% alcohol, 0.5% NaOCl and 2% chlorhexidine, paramonochlorophenol (cresophene) showed a greater antimicrobial effect.

Keywords: endodontic irrigants, microorganisms, root canal irrigation

Introduction

Various factors have been reported which contribute to the failure of root canal treatment, notably the presence of persistent intraradicular infection. (Shih *et al.* 1970, O'Hara *et al.* 1993, Barnard *et al.* 1996, Barbosa *et al.* 1997, White *et al.* 1997). Microbial control within the pulpal tissue and root canal space is a prerequisite for the prevention and treatment of pulpal and periapical breakdown. Unfortunately, it is difficult to eliminate all microorganisms and organic debris from the root canal system, regardless of the irrigant and instrumentation (Ringel *et al.* 1982, Baumgartner *et al.* 1984, Smith & Wayman 1986).

The ideal irrigant should be strongly antimicrobial, but not toxic to the periapical tissues if exruded through the apex (Smith & Wayman 1986, Yeşilsoy *et al.* 1995). Delany *et al.* (1982) compared the antimicrobial effects of irrigation on root canal flora with 0.2% chlorhexidine gluconate solution and saline solution (0.9% sodium chloride). They showed that 0.2% chlorhexidine gluconate solution has significantly greater antimicrobial effect than saline solution when used as an irrigant in the root canal treatment of teeth with necrotic pulps.

Sodium hypochlorite (NaOCl) has been widely recommended as an irrigant for the chemomechanical debridement of root canals because of its solvent activity for both necrotic and vital tissues and its

Correspondence: Dr Handan Ayhan, Gazi Üniversitesi Dishekimgi Fakültesi, Pedodonti Anabilim Dali, Biskek Caddesi, 82 Sokak, 06510 Emek/Ankara, Turkey (fax: 90-312-223 9226).

ability to act as an antiseptic (Jeansonne & White 1994, Barnard *et al.* 1996).

Spangberg *et al.* (1973) tested various potential irrigants both *in vivo* and *in vitro*. They reported that the most desirable solution was provided with the irrigant which combined maximal antimicrobial effect with minimal toxicity. None of those tested met this requirement. They reported that 5.25% NaOCl was considerably stronger than necessary to kill the bacteria commonly present in the root canal. However, at this concentration, NaOCl was highly toxic and irritating. Furthermore, 0.5% NaOCl dissolved the necrotic tissue but had no effect on *Staphylococcus aureus*.

The purpose of this study was to test the antimicrobial effects of some various root canal irrigants used against selected microorganisms often found in infected root canals.

Materials and methods

The six root canal irrigants used in this study were:

- 1 5.25% solution of NaOCl (Clorox, Oakland, CA, USA)
- 2 0.5% solution of NaOCl (Labbco Inc., Houston, TX, USA)
- 3 2.0% solution chlorhexidine gluconate (Procter and Gamble, Cincinnati, OH, USA)
- 4 Alcohol (21%) (Laboratoire SPAD, Quetigny, France)
- 5 Cresophene (paramonochlorophenol, 30%; thymol, 5%; dexamethasone, 0.1%) (Septodont, Saint-Maur, France)
- 6 Sterile physiological saline solution (Baxter, Deerfield, IL, USA).

Physiological saline solution was used as the negative control and 5.25% NaOCl was used as the positive control.

The microorganisms tested in this study were Staphylococcus aureus. Enterococcus faecalis. Streptococcus salivarius, Streptococcus pyogenes, Escheria coli and Candida albicans. Microorganisms were subcultured on both brain heart infusion agar (BHI) (Becton Dickinson, Cockeysville, USA) in 5% sheep blood (for Strep. salivarius and Strep. pyogenes) and BHI agar (for the others), These were stored for 24 h at 37°C. Several colonies from the plates were taken to BHI broth (Difco, Detroit, MI, USA) which was incubated for 35 h at 37°C. Their density was adjusted to McFarland 0.5 by adding 0.85% NaCl. BHI agar or BHI agar with 5% sheep blood plate was swabbed with the bacteria suspension. Paper discs (6 mm diameter) were soaked with 15 μ L of the chemotherapeutic test solutions and placed on the plates, which were incubated at 37°C for 24 h. Zones of inhibition were measured across the diameter with a transparent ruler and recorded. The tests were repeated five times for all strains. Statistical analysis was carried out using an analysis of variance, with Duncan's test of multiple comparisons. Significance was determined at P < 0.05.

Results

Table 1 shows the antimicrobial activity of tested materials.

The results of the positive control (5.25% NaOCl) showed that it was effective against all test microorganisms with a substantial zone of inhibition. In contrast, the negative control (saline) was always ineffective. Decreased concentrations of NaOCl (0.5%) resulted in significantly decreased antimicrobial effects (P < 0.05) compared with 5.25% NaOCl.

In the present study, the group using alcohol showed smaller zones of inhibition than chlorhexidine, but the differences were not statistically significant (P > 0.05).

Table 1	Antimicrobial	activity of the	tested materials	(mean zone c	of inhibition in mm)

	n	5.25% NaOCI	0.5% NaOCI	Chlorhexidine gluconate	Alcohol	Cresophone	Saline
Strep. pyogenes	5	45	2.5	20	20	38	0
C. albicans	5	61	7	24	18	44	0
Strep. salivarius	5	40	5.6	19	17	39	0
Staph. aureus	5	55	4.5	22	18	28	0
E. coli	5	47	5.3	26	19	30	0
E. faecalis	5	52	4.7	22	17	26	0
Average		50	4.93	22.16	18.1	34.16	0

Cresophene showed a significantly larger average zone of inhibition as compared with the other experimental irrigants (P < 0.05).

Discussion

Antimicrobial activity of an *in vitro* environment depends upon the pH of the substrate in plates, sensitivity of the drug, the amount of the bacteria inoculated, incubation time, and the metabolic activity of the microorganisms. Furthermore, the antimicrobial properties of an agent are directly related to its ability to diffuse in agar. (Updengraff & Chaug 1977). On the other hand, the duration of effectiveness of the drug, temperature, contamination and possible leakage of the agent into the mouth must be considered whilst working *in vivo* (Demirtola & Alaçam 1977, Updengraff & Chaug 1977). The microorganisms used in this study were relevant because they are part of the endodontic microbiological flora (Sundqvist 1992, Siqueira *et al.* 1997).

The use of the best possible irrigant during chemomechanical preparation is of great importance. The ideal irrigant should combine antimicrobial action and a capacity to dissolve organic and inorganic remnants (Georgopoulou *et al.* 1994).

Sodium hypochloride has been recommended as an irrigant solution in the treatment of infected root canals, because of its well-known bactericidal action (Nikolaus et al. 1988, Siqueira et al. 1997, Türkün & Cengiz 1997). Even though its antibacterial effects are recognized, the exact mechanism of microbial killing is not well elucidated. When NaOCl is added to water, hypochlorous acid (HOCl), which contains active chlorine, a strong oxidizing agent, is formed. Substantial evidence suggests that chlorine exerts its antibacterial effect by the irreversible oxidation of -SH groups of essential enzymes, disrupting the metabolic functions of the bacterial cell. Chlorine may also combine with cytoplasmic components to form Nchloro compounds, toxic complexes which destroy the microorganism. However, the first contact oxidation reactions of chlorine with bacteria may lead to the rapid killing of bacterial cells even prior to the formation of N-chloro compounds in the cytoplasm (Siqueira et al. 1997).

Byström *et al.* (1983) compared the antimicrobial effects of irrigation on canal flora with 0.5% NaOCl and saline solution (0.9% sodium chlorite). They found that 0.5% NaOCl solution showed a greater antimicrobial effect than saline solution when used

as a root canal irrigant. The cytotoxicity of NaOCl is reduced at lower concentrations, but dilution impairs its tissue dissolution, canal debridement and antimicrobial properties (McComb & Smith 1975, Harrison & Hand 1981). In the present study, the microbiological test results showed that 5.25% NaOCl was an effective agent against all the microorganisms tested. However, when the NaOCl was diluted to a clinically relevant level (0.5%), it was much less effective.

From the results of previous investigations, it seemed reasonable to assume that chlorhexidine gluconate solution might be an effective endodontic irrigant. It was postulated that the chlorhexidine gluconate solution, because of its ability to be adsorbed and released by dental tissues, would disinfect the tissues and then, by sustained release of chlorhexidine gluconate into the root canal, maintain a root canal devoid of microorganisms. This greater reduction in microorganisms would therefore result in a higher success rate for root canal therapy (Parsons 1980, Ringel *et al.* 1982).

Delany *et al.* (1982) tested chlorhexidine gluconate (2.0%) in an *in vitro* study using extracted teeth. They reported that it can be an effective antibacterial agent when used as an endodontic irrigant. They also recommended chlorhexidine as an intracanal interappointment dressing. The results of the present study also indicated that 2.0% chlorhexidine is an effective irrigant for endodontic use.

Although Yesilsoy *et al.* (1995) found that 11.6% alcohol was ineffective against most microorganisms when used as an irrigant in root canals, the present study showed that higher concentrations of alcohol (21%) proved to be an active antimicrobial agent with the test microorganisms used.

Cresophene, which consists of powerful bactericides combined with a corticosteroid, has a number of properties which are particularly effective in the disinfecting of root canals. The percentage of paramonochlorophenol in cresophene is 30–45%. *In vitro* and *in vivo* studies showed that it is effective against many microorganisms found in infected root canals (Harrison & Madonia 1971, Taylor *et al.* 1976). Another agent, timol, found in cresophene is an antimicrobial and antifungal agent. It has been used in endodontic treatments combined with other antiseptics (Demirtola 1977).

Although cresophene was found to be an alternative irrigant, one still has to be cautious because of the cytotoxic, and possible carcinogenic, mutagenic and teratogenic properties of this material.

Conclusion

NaOCl (5.25%) was superior in its antimicrobial abilities when compared with other irrigants used. A decreased concentration of NaOCl (0.5%) resulted in significantly decreased antimicrobial effects. When compared with 21% alcohol, 0.5% NaOCl and 2% chlorhexidine, cresophene was found to have greater antimicrobial effects. Chlorhexidine (2%) and alcohol (21%) were effective irrigants for endodontic use.

References

- Barbosa, C, Gonalves, RB, Siqueira, JF (1997) Evaluation of the antibacterial activities of calcium hydroxyde, chlorhexidine, and camphorated paramonochlorophenol as intracanal medicament. A clinical and laboratory study. *Journal of Endodontics* 23, 297–300.
- Barnard, D, Davies, J, Figdor, D (1996) Susceptibility of Actinomyces israelii to antibiotics, sodium hypochlorite and calcium hydroxide. International Endodontic Journal 29, 320–6.
- Baumgartner, JC, Carolyn, MB, Mader, CL (1984) A scanning electron microscopic evaluation of root canal debriment using saline, sodium hypochlorite, and citric acid. *Journal of Endodontics* **10**, 525–30.
- Byström, A, Sundqvist, G, Sweden, U (1983) Bacteriologic evaluation of the effect of 0.5 percent sodium hypochlorite in endodontic thrapy. *Oral Surgery, Oral Medicine, Oral Pathology* **55**, 307–12.
- Delany, GM, Patterson, SS, Miller, CH (1982) The effect of chlorhexidine gluconate irrigation on the root canal flora of freshly extracted necrotic teeth. Oral Surgery, Oral Medicine, Oral Pathology 53, 518–22.
- Demirtola, N (1977) Enfekte olmuç diç kökleri kanallarinin merfen, panfilavin, ve timol 'le irrigasyonundan sonra kanallarin dezenfeksiyonu. *PhD thesis*. Ankara, Turkey: University of Ankara.
- Demirtola, N, Alagam, T (1977) Bazi gegici dolgu maddelerinin antimicrobial etkileri. *The Journal of the Dental Faculty of Ankara University* **4**, 13–5.
- Georgopoulou, M, Kontakiotis, E, Nakou, M (1994) Evaluation of the antimicrobial effectiveness of citric acid and sodium hypochlorite on the anaerobic flora of the infected root canal. *International Endodontic Journal* **27**, 139–43.
- Harrison, JW, Hand, RE (1981) The effect of dilution and organic matter on the antibacterial property of 5.25% sodium hypochlorite. *Journal of Endodontics* **7**, 128–32.
- Harrison, JW, Madonia, JV (1971) The toxicity of parachlorophenol. Oral Surgery, Oral Medicine, Oral Pathology 32, 90.

- Jeansonne, MJ, White, RR (1994) A comparison of 2.0% chlorhexidine gluconate and 5.25% sodium hypochlorite as antibacterial endodontic irrigants. *Journal of Endodontics* **20**, 276–8.
- McComb, D, Smith, DC (1975) A preliminary scanning electron microscope study of root canals after endodontic procedures. *Journal of Endodontics* 1, 38–42.
- Nikolaus, BE, Wayman, BE, Encinas, E (1988) The bactericidal effects of citric acid and sodium hypochlorite on anaerobic bacteria. *Journal of Endodontics* 14, 31–4.
- O'Hara, P, Torabinejad, M, Kettering, JD (1993) Antibacterial effects of various endodontic irrigants on selected anaerobic bacteria. *Endodontics and Dental Traumatology* **9**, 95–100.
- Parsons, GJ (1980) Uptake and release of chlorhexidine by bovine pulp and dentin specimens and their subsequent acquisition of antibacterial properties. Oral Surgery, Oral Medicine, Oral Pathology 49, 455–9.
- Ringel, AM, Patterson, SS, Newton, CW (1982) In vivo evaluation of chlorhexidine gluconate solution and sodium hypochlorite solution as root canal irrigants. *Journal of Endodontics* 8, 200–4.
- Shih, M, Marshall, FJ, Rosen, S (1970) The bactericidal efficiency of sodium hypochlorite as an endodontic irrigant. *Oral Surgery, Oral Medicine, Oral Pathology* 29, 613–9.
- Siqueira, JF, Machado, AG, Silveira, RM (1997) Evaluation of the effectiveness of sodium hypochlorite used with three irrigation methods in the elimination of *Enterococcus faecalis* from the root canal, *in vitro*. *International Endodontic Journal* **30**, 279–82.
- Smith, JJ, Wayman, BE (1986) An evaluation of the antimicrobial effectiveness of citric acid as a root canal irrigant. *Journal of Endodontics* 12, 54–7.
- Spangberg, L, Engstrom, B, Langeland, K (1973) Biological effects of dental materials. Oral Surgery, Oral Medicine, Oral Pathology 36, 856–71.
- Sundqvist, G (1992) Ecology of the root canal flora. Journal of Endodontics 18, 427–30.
- Taylor, GN, Madonia, JV, Wood, NK, Heuer, MA (1976) In vivo autoradiographic study of relative penetrating abilities of aqueous 2% parachlorophenol and camphorated 35% parachlorophenol. *Journal of Endodontics* 2, 819.
- Türkün, M, Cengiz, T (1997) The effects of sodium hypochlorite and calcium hydroxide on tissue dissolution and root canal cleanliness. *International Endodontic Journal* **30**, 335–42.
- Updegraff, DM, Chaug, RW (1977) Antibacterial activity of dental restorative materials. *Journal of Dental Research* 50, 382–92.
- White, RR, Hays, GL, Janer, LR (1997) Residual antimicrobial activity after canal irrigation with chlorhexidine. *Journal of Endodontics* **23**, 229–31.
- Yesilsoy, C, Eugene, W, Cleveland, D (1995) Antimicrobial and toxic effects of established and potential root canal irrigants. *Journal of Endodontics* **21**, 513–5.

102